

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Abress of AIMMSSI CIER OF PATENTS AND TRATEMARRY
WASHINGTON TO BE SI
WWW ispto-gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 494,088	01/28/2000	Michael Megrogan	L01-06CIP	30)25	
75	90 01 03 2002				
Barbara J. Luther Sierra Patent Group, Ltd. P.O. Box 6149			EXAMINER		
			BAKER, ANNE MARIE		
Stateline, NV 8	89449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632	10	
			DATE MAILED: 01-03-2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/494.0	09/494.088			MCGROGAN ET AL.			
;	Office Action Summary	Examine	Examiner Art Unit						
		Anne-Ma	rie Bake	er	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136/ac. In no event individed may a reply be timely filed after SIX 16 MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty 30 days a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b). Status									
1)[
2a)		2b) This action i		nal.					
3)	· 								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirer	ment.					
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.							
1	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
İ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachmen		·							
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		4)		y (PTO-413) Paper I Patent Application (I				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/494,088

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

The response filed October 12, 2001 (Paper No. 9) has been entered. Applicants' election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-17 and 19-22 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-22 are pending in the instant application.

Claim 18 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 9.

Accordingly, Claims 1-17 and 19-22 are examined herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and or use the invention.

The claims are directed to a method of producing dopaminergic neuronal cells for transplantation in dopamine deficiencies, said transplantable neuronal cells being derived from progenitor cells.

The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for the method of producing cells for transplantation because methods of transplantation of neural tissue are not routinely successful and the specification does not offer adequate guidance to enable one skilled in the art to practice the claimed

Application Control Number: 09/494,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

invention to derive a therapeutic benefit in a diseased animal. The specification teaches that the only use for the claimed method of producing cells is to provide cells for transplantation. Thus, the only use of the claimed method is to produce a therapeutic effect but the specification does not adequately teach how to use the claimed method to produce such an effect. Jackowski et al. (1995) details the limitations and unpredictability associated with the transplantation of neural tissue. The specification does not offer any guidance as to how this method could be used therapeutically for any disorder. No working examples demonstrate a therapeutic effect for the claimed method of producing cells for transplantation. The specification fails to provide any guidance relating to the number of cells to inject, the site of injection, and the extent of cellular persistence required and attainable in practice, to provide any therapeutic benefit for any disorder.

The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for the claimed method because the specification teaches that the only use for the method is to produce cells for gene therapy, but the specification does not teach how to use the method in gene therapy applications. The claims encompass using cells that have been genetically modified. The specification fails to teach any method for transferring any gene into a neuronal precursor cell and expressing that gene at a therapeutic level in a diseased animal. The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for the use of the claimed method in gene therapy applications because the specification does not offer adequate guidance in this regard and because methods of gene therapy are not routinely successful. Therefore, the disclosure must teach how to use the claimed method with specific guidance. However, the specification does not provide adequate guidance as to the use of the claimed method to treat a diseased animal. The specification does not teach the level of gene expression required, the number of transduced cells needed, when or for how long the gene should be expressed, or the frequency of administration of the transfected neuronal precursor

Application Control Number: 09 494,088 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

cells required, for treatment of any pathological condition. At the time the application was filed, the art of administering any type of genetic expression vector, including transfected cells, to an individual so as to provide a tangible therapeutic benefit was poorly developed and unpredictable. The NIH ad hoc committee to assess the current status and promise of gene therapy reported in December 1995 that "clinical efficacy has not been definitively demonstrated at this time in any gene therapy protocol, despite anecdotal claims...," and that "significant problems remain in all basic aspects of gene therapy" (Orkin and Motulsky, p. 1). In a review article published in Scientific American in June 1997, Theodore Friedmann discusses the technical barriers which have so far prevented successful gene therapy, and states "So far, however, no approach has definitively improved the health of a single one of the more than 2.000 patients who have enrolled in gene therapy trials worldwide" (p. 96). In a review article published in Nature in September 1997, Inder Verma states "Although more than 200 clinical trials are currently underway worldwide, with hundreds of patients enrolled, there is still no single outcome that we can point to as a success story" (p. 239). The instant specification does not adequately teach one skilled in the art how to use the claimed method of ex vivo gene therapy. Thus, absent any showing that the claimed method can be used in gene therapy applications to produce the intended therapeutic effect, the claims directed to compositions for gene therapy and methods to produce cells for gene therapy are not enabled by the disclosure.

Given the lack of applicable working examples, the limited guidance provided in the specification, the broad scope of the claims, and the unpredictability for achieving any therapeutic effect, undue experimentation would have been required by one skilled in the art to practice the method of the invention or to use the claimed compositions in any mammal for therapeutic benefit.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,088 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne-Marie Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-9155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 10:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Crouch, acting SPE, can be reached on (703) 308-1126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-8724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the patent analyst, Kay Pinkney, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3553.

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

anne-Marie Paker PATENT EXAMINER